

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON**

ROBERT S.,¹

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW M. SAUL, Commissioner of
Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:19-cv-01773-SB

ORDER

IMMERGUT, District Judge.

On March 3, 2021, Magistrate Judge Stacie F. Beckerman issued her Findings and Recommendation (F&R), ECF 28. The F&R recommends that this Court affirm the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration’s (“Commissioner”) denial of Plaintiff’s applications for Disability Insurance Benefits (“DIB”) and Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) under Titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act. No party has filed objections.

¹ In the interest of privacy, this opinion uses only the first name and the initial of the last name of the non-governmental party in this case.

DISCUSSION

Under the Federal Magistrates Act (“Act”), as amended, the court may “accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party objects to a magistrate judge’s F&R, “the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” *Id.* But the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). Nevertheless, the Act “does not preclude further review by the district judge, *sua sponte*” whether de novo or under another standard. *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 154.

No party having filed objections, this Court has reviewed the F&R and accepts Judge Beckerman’s conclusions. The F&R, ECF 28, is adopted in full. The Commissioner’s decision is **AFFIRMED**, and this case is **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2021.

/s/ Karin J. Immergut
Karin J. Immergut
United States District Judge